

Draft Request for Proposals Question and Response Matrix

The following Matrix includes NHDOT's responses to questions and comments submitted by the shortlisted Proposers regarding the US Route 4 over Bunker Creek Replacement Project RFP received as of August 29, 2018.

No.	Doc/Section/Page No.	Question/Comment	Department Response												
1	Volume 1 Instructions to Proposers, Page 3, 1.4 Procurement Schedule	Would NHDOT please reschedule the next 1 on 1 meeting dates to the week of September 10th and the submission date of the ATC's to Sept. 27th since the additional geotechnical information won't be available until roughly September 1, leaving little time to review and disseminate the information before the planned final 1-on-1 meeting dates (Sept 4-5) and current ATC submission date (Sept. 20th)?	<div>In order to give Design-Build Teams time to review additional geotechnical information the following dates have been adjusted:</div> <table><tr><td>One-on-one Meetings with Proposers (3rd Round) to discuss RFP</td><td>September 17-18, 2018</td><td>TBD</td></tr><tr><td>Deadline for Submittal of ATCs</td><td>October 4, 2018</td><td>12:00 Noon</td></tr><tr><td>Last Date for NHDOT ATC Response</td><td>October 8, 2018</td><td>4:00 PM</td></tr><tr><td>Technical Proposal Due Date</td><td>October 29, 2018</td><td>2:00 PM</td></tr></table> <div>These changes will be reflected in Addendum No. 2 to the RFP.</div>	One-on-one Meetings with Proposers (3 rd Round) to discuss RFP	September 17-18, 2018	TBD	Deadline for Submittal of ATCs	October 4, 2018	12:00 Noon	Last Date for NHDOT ATC Response	October 8, 2018	4:00 PM	Technical Proposal Due Date	October 29, 2018	2:00 PM
One-on-one Meetings with Proposers (3 rd Round) to discuss RFP	September 17-18, 2018	TBD													
Deadline for Submittal of ATCs	October 4, 2018	12:00 Noon													
Last Date for NHDOT ATC Response	October 8, 2018	4:00 PM													
Technical Proposal Due Date	October 29, 2018	2:00 PM													

Draft Request for Proposals Question and Response Matrix

2	Volume II, Book 1, Sections 2.1.3 and 11.1.1	<p>The RFP Volume II Book 1 page 11 describes performance standards in two locations; 2.1.3 Performance Standards (page 11) and 11.1.1 Warranty (page 68).</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Regarding design services to be performed under this project, would Section 2.1.3 and Section 11.1.1 (a) both apply? If so, should Section 11.1.1 (b) be clarified or revised to apply to construction only? This question is asked considering NHDOT's consultant agreements for design services where the consultants are held to similar standard of care as in Section 11.1.1(a). 	In Section 11.1.1 of the Contract, "including design errors" will be removed. This change will be reflected in Addendum No. 2 to the RFP.
3	Volume II, Book 1, Sections 4.2.1 and 20.1.1	<p>Please clarify the following in regards to Substantial Completion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Lane widths required to be considered substantially complete Section 4.2.1 of the Contract states "Design-Builder shall achieve Substantial Completion by closing US Route 4 for no more than 14 days...", are there any specifics on the when these closure days may occur or are the only limitations between the dates mentioned in section 4.2.1? 	<p>1. Required lane width for substantial completion has been clarified in Section 20.1.1 of the Contract. The minimum required lane widths required during Daily Lane Closure versus Outside of Daily Lane Closures have also been clarified in Section 7.8.4.6 of the Technical Provisions. These clarifications will be reflected in Addendum No. 2 to the RFP.</p> <p>2. The language in Section 4.2.1 of the Contract has been updated to clarify that the complete closure is required to be over a continuous period no greater than 14 days, this closure includes any complete closure within the project limits not just closure for replacement of the bridge. This change will be reflected in Addendum No. 2 to the RFP.</p>
4	Volume II, Book 1, Section 12.3.4	\$1 million for mobilization seems high for this size project, is the value reflective of the amount of mobilization the department is anticipating?	The department has revised the maximum amount to be paid for mobilization to \$500,000 in order to reflect the size of the project. This change will be reflected in Addendum No. 2 to the RFP
5	Volume II Book 2, Section 7.4	Are there commitments for tree removal or replanting?	Limits of tree removal and replanting are stated in Section 7.4.2.1 of the Technical Provisions.

Draft Request for Proposals Question and Response Matrix

6	Volume II, Book 2, Section 7.8	Are there requirements for winter road conditions in the event construction spans more than one construction season?	Section 7.8.2.3 of the Technical Provisions has been updated to reflect requirements for winter maintenance throughout the project limits. These changes will be reflected in Addendum No. 2 to the RFP.
7	Volume II Book 2, Section 7.11	Can the existing bridge be left in place or must it be removed? To what limits?	As stated in Section 7.11 of the Technical Provisions, the existing structure "shall be removed to 3ft below proposed ground level or bottom of footing."
8	Categorical Exclusion Document, Page 9, Table 2-Proposed Wetland Impacts.	The table presents permanent and temporary impacts for seven different landform types. Please provide the graphics (planimetrics) in MicroStation and the accompanying calculations for each of these various types of permanent and temporary wetland impacts	The Wetland Permit Plans have been added to the Department's FTP website. Instructions for access have been provided to each Design-Build Team's Authorized Representative.
9	Categorical Exclusion Document	Will NHDOT perform any additional bat surveys should they become necessary?	Section 6.5 of the Technical Provisions states that NHDOT Bureau of Environment shall conduct an inspection to detect the presence or evidence of any use by bats before the commencement of construction activities, with a two weeks' notice provided to the Bureau.
10	Categorical Exclusion Document	Will NHDOT, not the Design-Builder, be responsible to pay the in lieu fee for wetland mitigation for the Base Technical Concept?	NHDOT will pay for the in-lieu fee based on the wetland impacts identified in the Wetland Permit Application and Plans based on the Department's Base Technical Concept.
11	No Reference	Can steel sheeting be used for permanent structures?	Given the projects marine environment, steel sheeting left-in place will not be allowed as a permanent structural component of the design. This change will be reflected in Section 7.7.3 of the Technical Provisions in Addendum No. 2.

Draft Request for Proposals Question and Response Matrix

12	No Reference	Can the physical soil samples and rock cores collected from the both the original and supplemental geotechnical field investigations be made available for observation at some point prior to the due date of formal ATC submissions?	Yes, once these samples become available each team's Authorized Representative (AR) will be notified as to the time when the soil/rock samples will be available for inspection. The Design-Build Team's AR can then coordinate with the Department's AR a time to inspect the samples at the NHDOT Material & Research facility in Concord, NH.
13	No Reference	What is the desired service life for the structure?	The structure shall be designed for a service life of <u>100 years</u> . This change will be reflected in Section 7.7.2 of the Technical Provisions in Addendum No. 2.
14	Volume II, Book 2, Section 7.5.2.1, last paragraph (Page 87)	Are there any more details on specifications for the required DMS west of the project limits?	The TSMO DMS requirement has been removed from this project. This change will be reflected in Section 7.7.2 of the Technical Provisions in Addendum No. 2. In addition the "TSMO DMS Report" under Resource Documents will be removed from the project website.